UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

MICHAEL D. LEMLEY,

٧.

Plaintiff,

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

Defendant.

Case No. 3:15-cv-00598-MMD-WGC

ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE WILLIAM G. COBB

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 18) ("R&R" or "Recommendation") relating Plaintiff's motion to remand (ECF No. 13). Plaintiff had until October 16, 2016, to object to the Recommendation. To date, no objection to the R&R has been filed.

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard

of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb's Recommendation. The Magistrate Judge recommended denying plaintiff's motion, finding there is no basis to reserve or remand the ALJ's decision. Upon reviewing the R&R and the records in this case, this Court finds good cause to adopt the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation in full.

therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF no. 18) is accepted and adopted in its entirety. It is ordered plaintiff's motion to remand (ECF No. 13) is denied.

It is further ordered that the Clerk enter judgment in favor of the Commissioner of Social Security Administration and close this case.

MIRANDA M. DU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED THIS 28th day of October 2016.

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28